

WHO WE ARE

The Urban Redevelopment Authority (URA) is Singapore’s national land use planning and conservation agency. URA’s mission is “to make Singapore a great city to live, work and play in”. We strive to create a vibrant and sustainable city of distinction by planning and facilitating Singapore’s physical development in partnership with the community.

The URA has successfully transformed Singapore into one of the most liveable cities in Asia through judicious land use planning and good urban design. URA adopts a long term and comprehensive planning approach in formulating strategic plans such as the Concept Plan and the Master Plan, to guide the physical development of Singapore in a sustainable manner. Its plans and policies focus on achieving a balance between economic growth and a quality living environment. As the conservation authority, URA has an internationally recognised conservation programme, having successfully conserved not only single buildings, but entire districts.

To turn its plans and visions into reality, URA takes on a multifaceted role. In addition to its planning function, URA is also the main government land sales agent. Through sale of state land, it attracts and channels private capital investment to develop sites to meet our land use needs. URA is also the development agency for Marina Bay, the new city extension. To create an exciting cityscape, URA also actively promotes architecture and urban design excellence.

THE URA SPIRIT


URA has a strong culture of achieving work excellence. This culture is anchored on the URA SPIRIT – core values which define what we believe in. They guide our daily interactions in the office and with our service partners and customers.
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The increasing global obsession with weight loss has caused us to do some self-reflection and thereafter, embark on a responsible weight loss programme. Unlike previous issues of the URA Annual Report, we will adopt a LEAN, BALANCED and EASY TO FOLLOW format which we hope still carries plenty of WEIGHT. After all, we let our results speak for themselves.

The last year has been an exciting period of transformation and memorable moments for the URA. Together with our partners, we set about transforming aspiration into reality, making significant headway on many fronts.

**Shaping the horizon**

After considerable consultation with our service partners and members of the public, we finalised and published the Master Plan 2008. Initiatives presented in the Master Plan will enable Singaporeans to look forward to more green spaces, wider leisure choices, exciting lifestyle destinations and new growth areas such as the Jurong Lake District, Paya Lebar Central and Kallang Riverside.

New growth areas such as the Jurong Lake District will be given a complete makeover, turning it into a unique destination for business and leisure over the next 10 to 15 years. It will comprise two complementary precincts: - Jurong Gateway and Lakeside. The Jurong Gateway will be one of the biggest commercial hubs outside the city centre and Lakeside will be a hub of family-friendly leisure attractions.

We have seen the new city skyline taking shape with the addition of the Singapore Flyer, the Marina Barrage and The Sail at Marina Bay. The urban transformation at Marina Bay continues with the development of the Marina Bay Sands Integrated Resort, Marina Bay Financial Centre, the waterfront promenade and the double helix bridge, all to be completed in phases from early 2010.

Identity and heritage will continue to be a key focus in making Singapore our home to cherish. Our neighbourhood precincts hold much charm, memories and affection for us. They are often repositories of fond childhood memories and even reminders of social and historical events. I am proud to report that another 100 buildings in the Katong and Joo Chiat area have been identified for conservation to enhance the history and character of the place. These will add to the current list of 6600 conserved buildings in Singapore.

In 2008, URA was conferred the Singapore Quality Award, an apt recognition of its organisational excellence. All our staff are extremely proud of this achievement.

The next leg

The future of Singapore’s physical landscape will continue to evolve under URA’s 2011 Concept Plan review. The review will not only guide Singapore’s physical transformation in the coming years, but will hopefully create new jobs and opportunities for many.

**CHAIRMAN’S MESSAGE**

**Concept Plan 2011** will be a major review of Singapore’s long-term land use plans and strategies to cater to the changing needs of a growing economy and population in a sustainable manner. In this respect, URA will conduct a major lifestyle study to assess the needs and aspirations of the people, as well as a public consultation exercise.

URA will place greater emphasis on place making and management, which is essentially a coordinated approach to create places that are economically and socially vibrant. It involves working with stakeholders to ensure that physical developments are complemented with software rejuvenation. URA will work closely with stakeholders to further enhance places like the Singapore River and the conservation areas.

URA has reached out to the public with a series of My Endearing Home roving exhibitions to the heartlands. The exhibitions showcase the exciting plans we have for Singapore such as the Jurong Lake District and Paya Lebar Central. It also served as a timely reminder, the many places in Singapore which we can cherish and which make Singapore an endearing home.

On the international front, beyond completion of the master plan for the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-city project, URA together with MND will implement the inaugural Lee Kuan Yew World City Prize to promote and recognize good international practices in urban planning and management.

A big thank you to our partners and stakeholders who have supported and worked closely with us to make this city a home. I wish to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to Mr Chong Li Cheong, Mr Indrajit Singh, BG (NS) Bernard Tan and Mr Tham Kai Meng, who stepped down from the Board in March 2009, for their contributions and valued counsel. In turn, I would like to welcome Mr Anthony Kang, Mr Liang Eng Hwa, Mr Tan Chee Meng, and Mr Looi Yew, who joined the Board in April 2009.

While our responsibilities have grown, our priorities have not changed. More than ever, URA remains committed to building an Endearing Home that Singaporeans will treasure, even as we develop a Distinctive Global City that Singaporeans can be proud of.

Chan Heng Loon, Alan
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CHAIRMAN’S MESSAGE

The last year has been an exciting period of transformation and memorable moments for the URA. Together with our partners, we set about transforming aspiration into reality, making significant headway on many fronts.

Shaping the horizon
After considerable consultation with our service partners and members of the public, we finalised and published the Master Plan 2008. Initiatives presented in the Master Plan will enable Singaporeans to look forward to more green spaces, wider leisure choices, exciting lifestyle destinations and new growth areas such as the Jurong Lake District, Paya Lebar Central and Kallang Riverside.

New growth areas such as the Jurong Lake District will be given a complete makeover, turning it into a unique lifestyle destination for business and leisure over the next 10 to 15 years. It will comprise two complementary precincts: - Jurong Gateway and Lakeside. The Jurong Gateway will be one of the biggest commercial hubs outside the city centre and Lakeside will be a hub of family-friendly leisure attractions.

We have seen the new city skyline taking shape with the addition of the Singapore Flyer, the Marina Bay Sands Integrated Resort, Marina Bay Financial Centre, the waterfront promenade and the double helix bridge, all to be completed in phases from early 2010.

Identity and heritage will continue to be a key focus of the Master Plan. Planning is a timeless reminder, the many places in Singapore which we can cherish and which make Singapore an endearing home.

On the international front, beyond completion of the master plan for the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-city project, URA together with MND will implement the inaugural Lee Kuan Yew World City Prize to promote and recognise good international practices in urban planning and management.

A big thank you to our partners and stakeholders who have supported and worked closely with us to make this city a home. I wish to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to Mr Chong Li Cheong, Mr Indrajit Singh, BG (NS) Bernard Tan and Mr Tham Kai Meng, who stepped down from the Board in March 2009, for their contributions and valued counsel. In turn, I would like to welcome Mr Anthony Kang, Mr Liang Eng Hwa, Mr Goh Chee Meng and Mr Lim Soon Siong, who joined the Board in April 2009.

While our responsibilities have grown, our priorities have not changed. More than ever, URA remains committed to building a Distinctive Global City that Singaporeans can be proud of.

In 2008, URA was conferred the Singapore Quality Award, an apt recognition of our organisational excellence. All our staff are extremely proud of this achievement.

The next leg
The future of Singapore’s physical landscape will continue to evolve under URA’s 2011 Concept Plan review. The review will not only guide Singapore’s physical transformation in the coming years, but will hopefully create new jobs and opportunities for many.
DESIGN GUIDELINES WAIVER COMMITTEE

This committee considers and advises URA on whether appeals for waivers from URA’s urban design guidelines and standard development control requirements can be supported. It considers how the buildings will enhance our urban landscape and skyline in waiving some of these guidelines for innovative and quality building designs.
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DESIGN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

This committee reviews and provides feedback on URA’s urban design and waterbodies design guidelines, advises on local best practices and industry trends for urban design, building and architecture; and identifies ways to encourage and promote innovative architecture and urban design.
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Physical Planning Group
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Concept Plan / Master Plan
- Review of Concept Plan every 10 years and of Master Plan every five years
- Strategic, long-term land use planning and island-wide land use planning
  - Formulation of strategies to realise planning visions

Conservation & Urban Design Group

Group Director (Conservation & Development Services)
Ler Seng Ann

Group Director (Urban Planning & Design)
Mdm Fun Siew Leng

Group Director (Architecture & Urban Design Excellence)
Ng Lye Hock

Urban Design Plans & Conservation Plans
- Development of urban design plans
- Central Area planning
- Conservation planning

Development Co-ordination
- Planning, facilitation and implementation of infrastructural, environmental improvement and building projects for selected areas

Development Agency, Marina Bay
- Events and activities programming
- Marketing and place management

Architecture & Urban Design Excellence
- Raising public awareness of good architecture and urban design

Development Control Group

Group Director
Han Yong Hoe

Development Facilitation
- Processing of development applications
- Enforcement of planning regulations to safeguard the living environment
- Review of planning policies and guidelines to facilitate businesses
- Sale of planning records and legal requisition
Authority
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Chief Executive Officer
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Deputy Chief Executive Officer
(URA Consulting & Corporate Development)
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Land Sales & Administration Group

Senior Group Director
Choy Chan Pong

Group Director
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Sale of Sites
- Planning of Government Land Sales Programme
- Sale of State land, as agent for the Government

Real Estate Information
- Property market research and information

Controller of Housing
- Issue housing developer’s licence
- Review rules on developers in the sale of uncompleted properties

Car Parks Management
- Provision and management of public parking facilities

URA Consulting Group

Group Director
Wong Kai Yeng

Provide Land Use Planning Consultancy
- Support bilateral projects and collaborate with companies on planning projects beyond Singapore
- Conduct professional training to share Singapore’s experience in urban management and integrated planning

Corporate Development Group

Group Director & Board Secretary
Lee Kwong Weng

Organisational Development
- Ensure URA remains relevant
- Develop URA into an excellent organisation through innovative and efficient management of people, finances, processes and information
- Provision of Board and management secretarial support
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The URA Board and Management have put in place a framework to ensure adherence to good corporate governance practices.

URA Board
The URA Act provides for URA to have a Chairman and up to 12 other Board members. The Board members are individuals from both the public and private sectors. Hailing from wide-ranging fields of architecture, media, finance, academia and government, the members provide complementary expertise and depth of experience to the Board. Other than URA CEO, who is also a Board member, the others are non-executive members.

Staff Review Committee
The Staff Review Committee consists of URA Chairman, CEO and one other Board member. It reviews and approves the recruitment and promotion of officers into and within superscale grades.

Finance & Investment Committee
The Finance & Investment Committee is chaired by the URA Chairman and includes three other Board members and one non-Board member. The Committee reviews and recommends policies on the investment of surplus funds for the Board’s or Minister’s approval as well as considers and approves investment guidelines in line with policies as approved by the Board. The Committee reviews the appointment of fund managers, custodians, and investment consultants and related service providers. It also reviews the annual budget for the Board’s endorsement.

Audit Committee
The Audit Committee (AC) is chaired by a non-executive Board member and includes three other Board members. The main function of the AC is to assist the Board in discharging its statutory and oversight responsibilities. It meets with URA’s internal and external auditors to review their audit plans, observations and the annual audited financial statements. It also reviews, with the internal and external auditors, the results of their evaluation of URA’s internal control system.
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RISK MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND INTERNAL CONTROLS

Internal Control Framework
URA’s internal control framework aims to ensure that assets are properly safeguarded, accounting systems and controls are sound and effective, financial information is reliable and key computerised systems are adequately secure to minimise our risks. These objectives are achieved through:

- Management’s emphasis on the importance of good governance and an organisational culture that is conscious of the need for internal control and risk management.
- An organisation structure with clear definition of responsibility and reporting at different levels of the organisation.
- Established communication channels through regular staff seminars, staff circulars, orientation briefings and provision of comprehensive information in URA’s intranet to educate staff on internal controls and good governance.
- A Financial Operations Manual, which sets out the internal control and financial policies, procedures and financial authority relating to all key operations of URA.
- Careful selection and deployment of staff, with regular reviews to ensure there is appropriate segregation of duties and that personnel are not assigned conflicting responsibilities.
- Independent internal and external auditing functions.
- Adoption of Singapore Government Security Instructions for the Handling and Custody of Classified Documents and Government Instruction Manual on Information Technology to ensure proper use and safeguarding of URA’s information.
- Close monitoring of URA’s financial risk exposure and implementing measures to minimise risk.
- Monitoring of monthly and quarterly reporting of financial and operational performance of key activities by Management and the Board.

Internal and External Audit Functions
URA’s Internal Audit Section conducts audits and reviews on URA’s business functions to provide assurance to the Board that internal controls are adequate and effective in all key financial and operational systems and processes. The scope of the Internal Audit function encompasses:

- Performing enterprise-wide risk assessments and review of risk management practices.
- Conducting financial and operational audits.
- Conducting IT security audits on key computerised systems and networks.
- Performing checks on compliance with statutory requirements, regulations and standards.

The Internal Audit Section reports directly to the Chairman of the Board and the Audit Committee. It furnishes Management with audit observations, analyses, appraisals and recommendations on areas for improvement and monitors the follow-up actions.

Deloitte & Touche was appointed by the Minister for National Development in consultation with the Auditor-General for the audit of URA’s Financial Statements. Arising from the audit, Deloitte & Touche reports to the Audit Committee its findings on significant audit, accounting and internal control issues, and also recommends possible ways in which the system and procedures can be improved.

Business and Ethical Conduct
All staff of URA are bound by URA’s terms and conditions of service to maintain a high standard of business and ethical conduct. In the course of their official duties, they are obliged not to involve themselves in matters where a conflict of interest may arise and are to declare the situation to their supervisor. They are also obliged to comply with established guidelines pertaining to the acceptance of gifts and invitations from contractors, suppliers, clients, customers, developers and any member of the public.

In addition, all staff members are subject to provision of the Official Secrets Act and the Statutory Bodies And Government Companies (Protection Of Secrecy) Act. They are required to sign a declaration upon recruitment to acknowledge this provision, and are reminded of this provision when they leave URA’s service.

URA has also put in place a Fraud Policy Statement to strengthen its business and ethical conduct.
ACHIEVEMENTS FOR THE YEAR  
(1 April 2008 - 31 May 2009)

Planning for our future

URA completed the five-yearly review of the Master Plan in May 2008. The draft Master Plan 2008 was exhibited at the URA Centre and online for public feedback, and attracted more than 220,000 physical and online visitors. URA received and responded to about 300 feedback, and incorporated many suggestions into the final Master Plan 2008 which was gazetted on 5 December 2008.

The Master Plan 2008 focuses on sustaining economic growth and enhancing the quality of life. It has four key thrusts – to enhance Singapore as a home of choice, a magnet for business, an exciting playground and a home to cherish. Exciting plans are in place for new growth areas - the Jurong Lake District, Paya Lebar Central and Kallang Riverside will be developed as commercial and mixed-use hubs to provide a range of retail, office, hotel and entertainment uses, as well as lifestyle attractions.

Exciting plans are in place for new growth areas - the Jurong Lake District, Paya Lebar Central and Kallang Riverside will be developed as commercial and mixed-use hubs to provide a range of retail, office, hotel and entertainment uses, as well as lifestyle attractions.

Besides the new growth areas, a new island-wide Leisure Plan was drawn up under Master Plan 2008, to provide a diverse range of leisure opportunities round-the-clock, for people of all ages.

The Leisure Plan sets aside land for more parks and nature areas using our waterbodies for more water-based recreation, new sports and arts facilities, and introduces more night-time buzz in the city.

A key highlight is the creation of a first ever 150 km Round-Island Route that will enable people to eventually cycle, jog, or walk around the whole island, giving new meaning to Singapore’s reputation as a City in a Garden. The route will offer a diversity of experiences through Singapore’s many natural gems, from nature retreats to breathtaking waterfront views, beautiful beaches and attractive parks.
Planning for our future

URA completed the five-yearly review of the Master Plan in May 2008. The draft Master Plan 2008 was exhibited at the URA Centre and online for public feedback, and attracted more than 220,000 physical and online visitors. URA received and responded to about 300 feedback, and incorporated many suggestions into the final Master Plan 2008 which was gazetted on 5 December 2008.

The Master Plan 2008 focuses on sustaining economic growth and enhancing the quality of life. It has four key thrusts – to enhance Singapore as a home of choice, a magnet for business, an exciting playground and a home to cherish.

Exciting plans are in place for new growth areas - the Jurong Lake District, Paya Lebar Central and Kallang Riverside will be developed as commercial and mixed-use hubs to provide a range of retail, office, hotel and entertainment uses, as well as lifestyle attractions.
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Exciting urban transformation at Marina Bay

The Southern Ridges attracts an average of 35,000 visitors every month

A key link of the Round-Island Route is the Southern Ridges, a 9 km chain of green, open spaces spanning the rolling hills of Mount Faber Park, Telok Blangah Hill Park and Kent Ridge Park before ending at West Coast Park.

Together with the elevated Forest Walk, URA built the spectacular Henderson Waves – Singapore’s highest pedestrian bridge – and the Alexandra Arch to enable visitors to stroll seamlessly from HarbourFront MRT station, all the way to Kent Ridge Park through a series of other interesting trails.

Since its opening in May 2008, the Southern Ridges has attracted an average of 35,000 visitors every month.

In the same month, URA unveiled detailed plans for an exciting connection to the picturesque area — the Labrador Nature and Coastal Walk. When completed, the Labrador Nature and Coastal Walk will not only open up stretches of inaccessible coastline for the public’s enjoyment, but will also link up attractions in the Southern Ridges and the southern waterfront to form a comprehensive, seamless recreational corridor.

A key link of the Round-Island Route is the Southern Ridges, a 9 km chain of green, open spaces spanning the rolling hills of Mount Faber Park, Telok Blangah Hill Park and Kent Ridge Park before ending at West Coast Park.

Together with the elevated Forest Walk, URA built the spectacular Henderson Waves – Singapore’s highest pedestrian bridge – and the Alexandra Arch to enable visitors to stroll seamlessly from HarbourFront MRT station, all the way to Kent Ridge Park through a series of other interesting trails.

Since its opening in May 2008, the Southern Ridges has attracted an average of 35,000 visitors every month.

In the same month, URA unveiled detailed plans for an exciting connection to the picturesque area — the Labrador Nature and Coastal Walk. When completed, the Labrador Nature and Coastal Walk will not only open up stretches of inaccessible coastline for the public’s enjoyment, but will also link up attractions in the Southern Ridges and the southern waterfront to form a comprehensive, seamless recreational corridor.
Marina Bay welcomed its first live-in population with the completion of The Sail@Marina Bay, a residential development offering waterfront city living. The world’s largest giant observation wheel, the iconic Singapore Flyer, officially opened on 15 April 2008, adding to the bevy of world class attractions already sited at Marina Bay.

Marina Bay continues to be the focus of national celebrations such as the National Day Parade and the Marina Bay Singapore Countdown. Fast establishing as a traditional signature event to celebrate the New Year, URA in collaboration with the Esplanade-Theatres on the Bay, organised the Marina Bay Singapore Countdown 2008/2009 which attracted more than 250,000 revellers on 31 December 2008. The F1 Singapore Grand Prix in September 2008 made history as the first F1 night race where an estimated global audience of 400 million watched the event. Marina Bay was also the venue for the Singapore Biennale @ Marina Bay, an exhibition of contemporary art, from September to November 2008.

Meanwhile, infrastructural implementation and developments from government land sale sites around Marina Bay are progressing well. The world’s first curved double helix pedestrian bridge, Singapore’s first Art Park, the Gardens by the Bay, and the new waterfront promenade will be progressively completed by 2011. The waterfront promenade in particular, will provide a continuous route around the bay and link up the necklace of attractions at the Marina Centre, Collyer Quay and Bayfront areas. Besides taking in panoramic views of the bay and watching the new skyline take shape before their eyes, visitors will have better access to the water’s edge and water taxis.

Exciting urban transformation at Marina Bay

Marina Bay, Singapore’s most exciting and ambitious urban transformation project, is envisioned as a unique waterfront district in a live-work-play environment. As of May 2009, it has attracted over S$16.5 billion worth of private real estate investments from both local and international investors.
They will be treated to a cool "mist walk" or indulge in a playful splash at the "dancing water jets". The waterfront promenade is a key component of URA's plan to make Marina Bay a lifestyle destination for all.

The Marina Bay Sands Integrated Resort and the first phase of Marina Bay Financial Centre will be operational by 2010. Separately, the government has invested close to $5.7 billion (as of May 2009) in infrastructure and will continue to pump in more than $1 billion in additional infrastructural works in Marina Bay over the next 10 to 15 years to support the future growth of Marina Bay and enhance the connectivity within the existing city. These include building additional phases of the Common Services Tunnel, bridges, promenades, and a new road and rail network.

Enhancing the evening economy

To enhance Singapore's evening economy, URA set up a multi-agency committee, chaired by CEO, URA to enhance night time buzz. The committee will oversee the implementation of projects aimed at improving the range and quality of evening activities in key districts within the city, namely Singapore River, Orchard Road, Bras Basah.Bugis and Marina Bay. Apart from infrastructural 'hardware' improvements such as night lighting fixtures, the committee also focused on the 'software' efforts such as creating signature events for the city to enhance Singapore's night vibrancy.

Good lighting can help to enhance the appeal and attractiveness of the city and elevate the visitors' experience at night. A number of energy-efficient lighting schemes for the Singapore River was implemented in 2008. Drawn up as part of URA's 2006 Lighting Master Plan, the lighting features centred on enhancing the water element, to accentuate the shimmering effects of the water surface and to provide a warm and inviting promenade ambience. The completed lighting enhancements along the Singapore River made a dazzling debut at the inaugural Singapore River Festival, a signature event by the river, in September 2008. Stakeholders came together to put forth a series of exciting events by the River and overseas visitors who had headed to Singapore for the first ever F1 night race were also attracted to the night offerings.

The multi-agency committee also initiated Singapore's first Night Festival in July 2008. Organised by the National Heritage Board, the night scene at the Bras Basah.Bugis area was enlivened with outdoor events such as aerial shows, live music concerts and street theatre. Close to 65,000 visitors partied the night away at the festival.
Preserving memories and keeping the soul in our urban environment

Conservation of our built heritage is very much an integral part of URA’s planning work. By retaining and sustaining the collective social memories of our past, we make our physical environment more meaningful and attractive for us and future generations.

Despite Singapore’s small land size, some 6,900 buildings throughout the island have been gazetted for conservation to date. For the year in review, URA gazetted 36 buildings for conservation. In January 2009, we announced the conservation of another 100 buildings comprising shophouses, churches and bungalows in Katong and Joo Chiat, complementing some 700 buildings already conserved in the area since 1993. The buildings were selected based on their architectural merits, cultural, social and historical significance, as well as their contribution to the streetscape and identity of the place.

While heritage buildings contribute to the soul of our city, we recognise that what makes a place distinctive could come in various forms and structures, such as an elegant tower, a historic bridge or even a beautiful pavilion. In October 2008, with the inputs from the Conservation Advisory Panel, URA extended its conservation programme beyond buildings to include historic structures so as to further enhance the identity of the areas. Twelve such structures were identified for conservation. These include historic bridges, pavilions and towers such as the Lookout Tower at Toa Payoh Town Park and the Cavenagh Bridge at the Singapore River.

Seven conservation projects were also recognised at the URA Architectural Heritage Awards (AHA) in October 2008. Introduced in 1995, the AHA has been an important platform to recognise building owners, professionals and contractors who have restored or conserved buildings in a sensitive manner.

No. 14 Cable Road was one of the AHA 2008 winners - a black and white beauty that was reinvented as a contemporary home

The Baba House at No.157 Neil Road was restored to its former Straits-Chinese architectural glory through URA’s joint effort with the National University of Singapore

No.19 Jalan Elok was the President’s Design Award 2008 Winner for Design of the Year
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Seven conservation projects were also recognised at the URA Architectural Heritage Awards (AHA) in October 2008. Introduced in 1995, the AHA has been an important platform to recognise building owners, professionals and contractors who have restored or conserved buildings in a sensitive manner.

Broadening horizons in architecture and urban design

As part of URA's continuing efforts to promote good design in our built environment and raise public awareness of good architecture and urban design (A&UD) in Singapore, URA organised a number of outreach programmes such as exhibitions, talks, seminars and design competitions for both the general public and industry professionals.

Three outstanding designers and seven iconic designs industry-wide were awarded Singapore's top design accolade, the President's Design Award, by President S R Nathan on 1 December 2008. For the architecture and urban design discipline, two outstanding architects and three excellent designs were recognised. URA organised a Winners' Forum for the architects to share their design philosophy and creative process behind their winning projects with both the fraternity and the general public.

URA launched an urban planning and design workshop-cum-competition for students to create more awareness of Singapore’s built environment, understand the dynamics of land use and urban planning, and also stir interest among the young generation into becoming the planners and architects of the future. In the first ever Challenge for the Urban & Built Environment (CUBE) organised in March 2009, about 100 junior college and polytechnic students had a firsthand experience of planning ‘out of the box’ while learning about the fundamentals of urban planning. The CUBE participants were tasked to study an area stretching from Craig Road to Cross Street (what is generally known as Chinatown) and propose urban interventions as their own response to the built environment and history of the place. The top three teams were honoured for their winning ideas at URA’s annual Corporate Plan Seminar on 17 April 2009 where the awards were given out by the Minister for National Development, Mr Mah Bow Tan.
Responsive Government Land Sales Programme

The Government Land Sales (GLS) Programme has been instrumental in realising URA’s visions and plans. As the main land sales agent for the government, URA plans and manages the GLS Programme, releasing sites to meet demand for various types of development.

Under the GLS Programme for 2008, URA successfully sold a total of 10 sites comprising four industrial sites, four residential sites and two hotel sites; as well as 11 land parcels for landed housing at Sembawang Greenvale Phase 2. In addition, URA also sold one land parcel for heavy vehicle park at 20 Kaki Bukit Road 2 through auction, two transitional office sites and one hospital site at Novena Terrace / Irrawaddy Road. Collectively, these can yield a total of about 1,540 private residential units, 31,400 sqm of commercial space, 110,100 sqm of industrial space, 72,350 sqm of hospital space and 1,130 hotel rooms, to meet the demand for the various types of development.

One of the key sites sold in August 2008 under the GLS Programme was a hotel site in Balestier. The area is rich in history and home to an interesting mix of conserved shophouses and monuments such as the Sun Yat Sen Nanyang Memorial Hall (SYSNMH). A special aspect of this future hotel development is a 0.46 hectare public park named “Zhongshan Park”. The future hotel development will integrate seamlessly with Zhongshan Park, and synergise with the Sun Yat Sen Nanyang Memorial Hall and surrounding heritage shophouses. This unique integrated hotel-park development is the first of its kind in Singapore and will add to the vibrancy of the greater Balestier area.

URA monitors the dynamic changes in the global economy closely and its impact on the outlook of the Singapore property market. We work with other government agencies to introduce timely policies to help businesses and developers tide through the challenging economic climate as part of Budget 2009. In view of the economic uncertainties and to allow the market time to assess and respond to the dynamic economic conditions, the GLS Programme for the first half of 2009 comprised only sites on the Reserve List and none on the Confirmed List. There was also a reduced supply of commercial space and no new supply of private residential units from government agencies outside the GLS Programme.

The responsiveness of the Reserve List system to changing market conditions could be seen when a total of seven sites in the GLS Programme - comprising two industrial, two hotel and three residential sites – successfully triggered for sale when the property market showed signs of stability in the second and third quarters of 2009.
Encouraging the LUSH life

Singapore has endeared itself to many as the region’s City in a Garden. The provision of greenery has always been a key priority in our city’s development. A significant amount of greenery within developments today is also a result of URA guidelines, which require or incentivise developers to incorporate greenery in various forms within their developments. For example, URA had been requiring developers to provide tree planting verges along the site boundary of private development projects and along public roads as early as 30 years ago. Over the years, URA had also introduced attractive incentive schemes to encourage the developers to introduce more greenery within their developments, through incentivising the provision of balconies and communal landscaped areas.

Taking this a notch further and in support of the government’s Sustainable Development Blueprint, URA launched the LUSH (Landscaping for Urban Spaces and High-rises) programme in April 2009. The LUSH initiative encourages good design of aesthetically pleasing green spaces at multiple levels of the building so that our people are never far away from greenery, even as we build higher and denser. The LUSH programme includes Gross Floor Area (GFA) incentives to encourage building owners and developers to provide well-planted communal green spaces at both the ground level and the upper levels of their buildings, such as sky terraces and rooftop gardens, for occupants and the public to enjoy. URA also introduced a new landscape replacement policy that will apply to all new developments in the Marina Bay, Kallang Riverside and Jurong Gateway areas. Under this initiative, developers are obliged to replace the greenery lost from the site area taken up by buildings through the provision of skyrise greenery and landscape areas within the development. Greenery not only adds to a greener and more beautiful environment, but also brings other benefits such as improving air quality and reducing the island heat effect which will translate to savings in energy bills.
Bringing Singapore to an international audience

URA plays a major role in promoting Singapore as an attractive global city for investment, business and talents, through our participation in various international real estate tradeshows, conferences and dialogues with investors.

In 2008 and 2009, as part of our city marketing effort, URA, together with other public agencies and private sector companies, organised and exhibited a Singapore Pavilion at international real estate tradeshows including MIPIM Cannes, Cityscape Dubai, Cityscape Asia, Singapore and MIPIM Asia, Hong Kong. At these tradeshows, URA shared our latest plans for Marina Bay, Jurong Lake District, Kallang Riverside and Ophir-Rochor Corridor. URA also participated in various conferences in 2008, including the Real Estate Investment World, Global Real Estate Institute and Urban Land Institute conferences. URA gave talks at many of these events, sharing our planning experience, our future development plans, updates on the real estate market and real estate investment opportunities. The feedback received at these events has been positive. Investors were impressed with Singapore’s attractiveness as a vibrant global city and appreciated URA’s efforts to continually engage them and to keep them apprised on the developments and investment opportunities in Singapore.

Singaporeans living, studying or working overseas were also not forgotten. In October 2008 and April 2009, URA participated in the Singapore Day in Melbourne and London respectively, to share URA’s exciting plans for Singapore with Singaporeans living overseas.

Embracing the future with expanded roles

URA has worked with partner agencies and public stakeholders over the last 35 years to shape and define Singapore’s physical landscape. As the needs of the nation evolved, URA has gradually realised that physical solutions alone cannot promote vibrancy and enhance community life. Within Singapore, URA will move beyond planning and infrastructure development to undertake “place management”. “Place management” refers to the harnessing of the skills and resources of the public and private sectors to create places and areas that are economically and socially vibrant. In addition to URA’s usual role as a master planner and infrastructure provider, URA will also work with stakeholders on “softer” initiatives, such as branding, marketing and events programming.
URA is already undertaking the place management of Marina Bay. It will expand its portfolio to include the Singapore River. URA chairs a co-ordinating forum to align and synergise the place management efforts of partner agencies at Orchard Road and the Civic District - Bras Basah.Bugis areas.

On the international front, the Planning Services Unit of URA has been expanded into the URA Consulting Group, to better support bilateral projects and to help local companies to expand their services beyond Singapore. URA’s planning expertise is much sought after outside of Singapore. We have played a leading role in the master planning of projects like the Tianjin Eco-City, which draws on Singapore’s experience in integrated planning and urban management. Together with the Chinese planning agencies, URA led a Singapore planning team and completed the Master Plan for the Tianjin Eco-City – a joint China-Singapore government project.

URA will also consolidate its professional training for both local and international participants under the Urban Leadership Programme to share Singapore’s urban planning experience. URA offers executive programmes on urban planning and development and courses can also be customised to suit the specific needs of the participants. We also tie up with other government agencies such as the Land Transport Authority, Civil Service College and Singapore Corporation Enterprise to provide training courses for foreign government officials who are interested to learn about the Singapore experience in planning and development. Over the last three years, URA has extended its knowledge to more than 10,000 officials and professionals from the Middle East, Africa, China, India, Pakistan, Brazil and the Asean nations. Participants have included ministers, mayors, heads of government organisations, policy makers, planners and architects.

Shaping URA to shape Singapore

Over the years, we have constantly been improving URA’s organisation development and management framework to make URA a world class organisation. URA’s organisation excellence journey reached a major milestone in 2008 when we were conferred the Singapore Quality Award (SQA) in 2008. The award recognises URA’s significant contributions to Singapore’s sustained economic growth and high quality of life. It also endorses URA as an exemplary world class organisation with excellent service delivery systems and processes.

URA took part in a public sector-wide Employee Engagement Survey (EES) conducted by the Civil Service College and its independent survey partner, Towers Perrin-International Survey Research between September and October 2008. We enjoyed a high rate of return with 97% of URA staff participating in the survey, providing their valuable feedback. URA has surpassed the public sector norms in all categories of the survey by wide margins, doing exceptionally well in key areas such as leadership, climate and engagement. It is a positive sign that URA employees are proud to be employees of URA, and further testimony that URA is indeed an SQA organisation.
Encouraging the LUSH life

Singapore has endeared itself to many as the region’s City in a Garden. The provision of greenery has always been a key priority in our city’s development. A significant amount of greenery within developments today is also a result of URA guidelines, which require or incentivise developers to incorporate greenery in various forms within their developments. For example, URA had been requiring developers to provide tree planting verges along the site boundary of private development projects and along public roads as early as 30 years ago. Over the years, URA had also introduced attractive incentive schemes to encourage the developers to introduce more greenery within their developments, through incentivising the provision of balconies and communal landscaped areas.
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### AWARDS

**Design & Engineering Safety Excellence Award 2009 (Civil & Engineering Category) for Henderson Waves**
by Building & Construction Authority

**2008 Singapore Quality Award**
by Standards, Productivity and Innovation Board (SPRING) Singapore

**Downtown Achievement Awards 2008 (Merit Award in Planning) for Masterplanning of Bras Basah.Bugis**
by International Downtown Association

**Urban Land Institute Award for Excellence 2008: Asia Pacific for Masterplanning of BrasBasah.Bugis**

**Cityscape Asia 2008 Real Estate Award for Architecture - Best Urban Design and Masterplanning of Bras Basah.Bugis**

**Minister for National Development Team Awards 2008 for Southern Ridges Project**

**Knowledge Management (KM) Excellence Awards 2008**
by iKMS (Information & Knowledge Management Society)

**SHARE (Social Help and Assistance Raised by Employees) Platinum Award 2008**
by Community Chest

**Home Team National Service Award for Employers 2008**
by Ministry of Home Affairs

**Excellent Service Award 2008**
45 URA staff won the Silver, Gold and Star EXSA Awards
by SPRING Singapore

**Work-Life Excellence Award 2008**
by Ministry of Manpower

**Meritorious Defence Partner Award 2008 (12th year running)**
by Ministry of Defence

**Green Mark Award 2008 (Gold Category) for the URA Centre**
by Building and Construction Authority

---

The URA team with Minister (Prime Minister’s office) Lim Swee Say at the Business Excellence Awards Presentation 2008, after receiving the Singapore Quality Award
Financial Report

Opinion

In our opinion,
(a) the financial statements of the Authority are properly drawn up in accordance with the provisions of the Act and Statutory Board Financial Reporting Standards so as to give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Authority as at 31 March 2009, and the results, changes in capital and reserves and cash flows of the Authority for the financial year ended on that date;
(b) proper accounting and other records required by the Act, including records of all assets of the Authority whether purchased, donated or otherwise, to be kept by the Authority have been properly kept in accordance with the provisions of the Act; and
(c) the financial statements are in agreement with the accounting and other records and are prepared on a basis similar to that adopted for the preceding year.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

During the course of our audit, nothing came to our notice that caused us to believe that the receipts, expenditure and investment of monies and the acquisition and disposal of assets by the Authority during the financial year under review have not been in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

Emphasis of Matter

Without qualifying our opinion, we draw attention to Note 6 to the financial statements. At 31 March 2009, the Authority has capitalised $65,965,000 (31 March 2008 : $47,582,000) relating to infrastructural projects-in-progress. These amounts are recognised as an asset on the Authority's Balance Sheet as its accumulated surplus is used to fund these projects. On completion of the infrastructural projects, the assets will be transferred to the designated government agencies as a contribution to the government, with a corresponding reduction against the Authority's accumulated surplus.

Deloitte & Touche LLP
Public Accountants and Certified Public Accountants

Singapore
9 June 2009
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT TO URBAN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Urban Redevelopment Authority (the “Authority”) set out on pages 24 to 53, which comprise the Balance Sheet as at 31 March 2009, Income and Expenditure Statement, Statement of Changes in Capital and Reserves and Cash Flow Statement for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory notes. The financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2008 were audited by another auditor whose report dated 13 June 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion with an emphasis of matter on those statements.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the provisions of the Urban Redevelopment Authority Act (Cap. 340) (the “Act”) and Statutory Board Financial Reporting Standards. This responsibility includes designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies, and making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

Auditors’ Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Singapore Standards on Auditing. Those Standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.
Opinion

In our opinion,

(a) the financial statements of the Authority are properly drawn up in accordance with the provisions of the Act and Statutory Board Financial Reporting Standards so as to give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Authority as at 31 March 2009, and the results, changes in capital and reserves and cash flows of the Authority for the financial year ended on that date;

(b) proper accounting and other records required by the Act, including records of all assets of the Authority whether purchased, donated or otherwise, to be kept by the Authority have been properly kept in accordance with the provisions of the Act; and

(c) the financial statements are in agreement with the accounting and other records and are prepared on a basis similar to that adopted for the preceding year.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

During the course of our audit, nothing came to our notice that caused us to believe that the receipts, expenditure and investment of monies and the acquisition and disposal of assets by the Authority during the financial year under review have not been in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

Emphasis of Matter

Without qualifying our opinion, we draw attention to Note 6 to the financial statements. At 31 March 2009, the Authority has capitalised $65,965,000 (31 March 2008 : $47,582,000) relating to infrastructural projects-in-progress. These amounts are recognised as an asset on the Authority's Balance Sheet as its accumulated surplus is used to fund these projects. On completion of the infrastructural projects, the assets will be transferred to the designated government agencies as a contribution to the government, with a corresponding reduction against the Authority's accumulated surplus.
# BALANCE SHEET

**As at 31 March 2009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$'000</td>
<td>$'000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>238,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructural projects-in-progress</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff loans</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>240,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructural projects-in-progress</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>63,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debtors, accrued interest, prepayments and other debtors</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>591,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>452,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,155,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency and other deposits</td>
<td>19,032</td>
<td>15,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to Consolidated Fund</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creditors and accrued operating expenses</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>78,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial liabilities</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>101,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,054,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creditors and accrued operating expenses</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for pensions and gratuities</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital and reserves</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital account</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surplus</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,257,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capital and reserves</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,285,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these financial statements.
## INCOME AND EXPENDITURE STATEMENT

For the financial year ended 31 March 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$’000</td>
<td>$’000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking fees and related charges</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery of costs</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>44,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency and consultancy fees</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from development control</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease income</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating income</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>167,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure on manpower</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating supplies and services</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary occupation licence fees</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and car park maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>140,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating surplus</strong></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-operating (deficit)/surplus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net (loss)/income from bank deposits and investments</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>(95,426)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-operating income</td>
<td></td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-operating Surplus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(95,255)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Deficit)/Surplus before contribution to Consolidated Fund</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(67,678)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to Consolidated Fund</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net (deficit)/surplus for the financial year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(67,678)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these financial statements.
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN CAPITAL AND RESERVES
For the financial year ended 31 March 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Capital Account $’000</th>
<th>Accumulated Surplus $’000</th>
<th>Total $’000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at 1 April 2007</td>
<td>27,691</td>
<td>1,399,214</td>
<td>1,426,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net surplus for the financial year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23,258</td>
<td>23,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer of completed infrastructural project</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(506)</td>
<td>(506)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for government projects</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(64,943)</td>
<td>(64,943)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at 31 March 2008</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,691</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,357,023</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,384,714</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at 1 April 2008</td>
<td>27,691</td>
<td>1,357,023</td>
<td>1,384,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net deficit for the financial year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(67,678)</td>
<td>(67,678)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital injection</td>
<td>14(c)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer of completed infrastructural project</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(25,495)</td>
<td>(25,495)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for government projects</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(5,331)</td>
<td>(5,331)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend paid</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(1,061)</td>
<td>(1,061)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at 31 March 2009</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,692</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,257,458</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,285,150</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these financial statements.
The accompanying notes form an integral part of these financial statements.
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the financial year ended 31 March 2009

These notes form an integral part of and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements.

1. GENERAL

The Urban Redevelopment Authority (the “Authority”) is a statutory board established in Singapore under the Urban Redevelopment Authority Act (Cap. 340). It is domiciled in Singapore. The address of the Authority’s registered office is as follows:

45 Maxwell Road
The URA Centre
Singapore 069118

The principal activities of the Authority are:

(a) planning and facilitating the physical development of Singapore;
(b) selling and managing land for the government;
(c) managing car parks;
(d) undertaking development projects on behalf of the government and other organisations; and
(e) carrying out such other functions as imposed upon the Authority by or under the Urban Redevelopment Authority Act (Cap. 340) or any other written law.

These financial statements are presented in Singapore Dollar, which is the Authority’s functional currency.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

2.1 Basis of accounting and adoption of new and revised standards

The financial statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention, except as disclosed in accounting policies below, and are drawn up in accordance with the provisions of the Urban Redevelopment Authority Act (Cap. 340) and Statutory Board Financial Accounting Standards (“SB-FRS”).

In the current financial year, the Authority has adopted all the new and revised SB-FRSs and Interpretations of SB-FRS (“INT SB-FRS”) that are relevant to its operations and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 April 2008. The adoption of these new and revised SB-FRSs and INT SB-FRSs does not result in changes to the Authority’s accounting policies and has no material effect on the amounts reported for the current or prior years except as disclosed below and in the notes to the financial statements.

The Authority has adopted SB-FRS 107 Financial Instruments: Disclosures and amendments to FRS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements with effect from 1 April 2008. The adoption of FRS 107 has resulted in an expansion of the disclosures in these financial statements regarding the Authority’s financial instruments.
2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

2.2 Financial instruments

Financial assets and financial liabilities are recognised on the Authority's Balance Sheet when the Authority becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

(a) Financial assets

Investments are recognised and derecognised on a trade date where the purchase or sale of an investment is under a contract whose terms require delivery of the investment within the timeframe established by the market concerned, and are initially measured at fair value plus transaction costs, except for those financial assets classified as at fair value through profit or loss which are initially measured at fair value.

Other financial assets are classified into the following specified categories: financial assets “at fair value through profit or loss”, “available-for-sale” financial assets and “loans and receivables”. The classification depends on the nature and purpose of financial assets and is determined at the time of initial recognition.

(i) Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss

The Authority’s investments in marketable securities and forward foreign exchange contracts are classified in the “financial assets at fair value through profit or loss” category. This category has two sub-categories: “financial assets held for trading”, and those “designated as fair value through profit or loss at inception”. A financial asset is classified as held for trading if acquired principally for the purpose of selling in the short term. Financial assets designated as at fair value through profit or loss at inception are those that are managed and their performances are evaluated on a fair value basis, in accordance with a documented Authority's investment strategy. Assets in this category are classified as current assets if they are either held for trading or are expected to be realised within 12 months after the balance sheet date. The designation of financial assets at fair value through profit or loss is irrevocable.
2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

2.2 Financial instruments (continued)

(a) Financial assets (continued)

(ii) Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. They are included in current assets, except those maturing more than 12 months after the balance sheet date which are classified as non-current assets. Loans and receivables are classified within “Cash and cash equivalents”, “Staff loans” and “Debtors, accrued interest, prepayments and other debtors” on the Balance Sheet. Loans and receivables are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method less impairment. Interest is recognised by applying the effective interest rate method, except for short-term receivables when the recognition of interest would be immaterial.

(iii) Available-for-sale financial assets

Available-for-sale financial assets are non-derivatives that are either designated in this category or not classified in any of the other categories. Assets in this category are classified as current assets if they are expected to be realised within 12 months after the balance sheet date.

(iv) Impairment of financial assets

Financial assets, other than those at fair value through profit or loss, are assessed for indicators of impairment at each balance sheet date. Financial assets are impaired where there is objective evidence that, as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the financial asset, the estimated future cash flows of the investment have been impacted.

For financial assets carried at amortised cost, the amount of the impairment is the difference between the asset’s carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at the original effective interest rate.

The carrying amount of the financial asset is reduced by the impairment loss directly for all financial assets with the exception of trade receivables where the carrying amount is reduced through the use of an allowance account. When a trade receivable is uncollectible, it is written off against the allowance account. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against the allowance account. Changes in the carrying amount of the allowance account are recognised in profit or loss.
2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

2.2 Financial instruments (continued)

(a) Financial assets (continued)

(iv) Impairment of financial assets (continued)

With the exception of available-for-sale equity instruments, if, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment loss was recognised, the previously recognised impairment loss is reversed through profit or loss to the extent the carrying amount of the investment at the date the impairment is reversed does not exceed what the amortised cost would have been had the impairment not been recognised.

In respect of available-for-sale equity instruments, any subsequent increase in fair value after an impairment loss, is recognised directly in equity.

(v) Derecognition of financial assets

The Authority derecognises a financial asset only when the contractual rights to the cash flows from the asset expire, or it transfers the financial asset and substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the asset to another entity. If the Authority neither transfers nor retains substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership and continues to control the transferred asset, the Authority recognises its retained interest in the asset and an associated liability for amounts it may have to pay. If the Authority retains substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of a transferred financial asset, the Authority continues to recognise the financial asset and also recognises a collateralised borrowing for the proceeds received.

(b) Financial liabilities and equity instruments

(i) Classification as debt or equity

Financial liabilities and equity instruments issued by the Authority are classified according to the substance of the contractual arrangements entered into and the definitions of a financial liability and an equity instrument.

(ii) Creditors

Creditors are initially measured at fair value, and are subsequently measured at amortised cost, using the effective interest method.

(iii) Equity instruments

Shares issued to Minister of Finance are classified as equity. Any incremental costs directly attributable to the issuance of new shares are deducted against the capital account.

(iv) Derecognition of financial liabilities

The Authority derecognises financial liabilities when, and only when, the Authority's obligations are discharged, cancelled or they expire.

(c) Fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities

The fair values of current financial assets and liabilities, carried at amortised cost approximate their carrying amounts.

The fair values of financial instruments traded in active markets (such as exchange-traded, over-the-counter securities and forward foreign exchange contracts) are based on quoted market prices at the balance sheet date. The quoted market price used for financial assets held by the Authority is the current bid price; the appropriate quoted market price for financial liabilities is the current ask price.

The fair value of the unit trusts is determined based on the funds' net asset values provided by the fund managers at the last market day of the financial year. The net asset values approximate the fair value as the funds comprise mainly quoted equities whose fair value is based on the quoted market prices at the last market day of the financial year.

The fair value of forward exchange currency contracts is determined using forward foreign exchange market rates at the balance sheet date.
2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

2.2 Financial instruments (continued)

(b) Financial liabilities and equity instruments

(i) Classification as debt or equity

Financial liabilities and equity instruments issued by the Authority are classified according to the substance of the contractual arrangements entered into and the definitions of a financial liability and an equity instrument.

(ii) Creditors

Creditors are initially measured at fair value, and are subsequently measured at amortised cost, using the effective interest method.

(iii) Equity instruments

Shares issued to Minister of Finance are classified as equity. Any incremental costs directly attributable to the issuance of new shares are deducted against the capital account.

(iv) Derecognition of financial liabilities

The Authority derecognises financial liabilities when, and only when, the Authority’s obligations are discharged, cancelled or they expire.

(c) Fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities

The fair values of current financial assets and liabilities, carried at amortised cost approximate their carrying amounts.

The fair values of financial instruments traded in active markets (such as exchange-traded, over-the-counter securities and forward foreign exchange contracts) are based on quoted market prices at the balance sheet date. The quoted market price used for financial assets held by the Authority is the current bid price; the appropriate quoted market price for financial liabilities is the current ask price.

The fair value of the unit trusts is determined based on the funds’ net asset values provided by the fund managers at the last market day of the financial year. The net asset values approximate the fair value as the funds comprise mainly quoted equities whose fair value is based on the quoted market prices at the last market day of the financial year.

The fair value of forward exchange currency contracts is determined using forward foreign exchange market rates at the balance sheet date.
2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

2.3 Forward foreign exchange contracts

Forward foreign exchange contracts are classified as financial assets/liabilities at fair value through profit or loss. A forward foreign exchange contract is initially recognised at fair value on the date it is entered into and is subsequently re-measured at fair value.

Changes in fair value of forward foreign exchange contracts are included in the Income and Expenditure Statement in the financial year in which the changes in fair value arise.

2.4 Operating leases

Leases of assets where substantially all risks and rewards incidental to ownership are retained by the lessors are classified as operating leases. Receipts and payments made under operating leases are recognised in the Income and Expenditure Statement on a straight-line basis over the period of the lease.

Assets leased out under operating leases are included in property, plant and equipment.

2.5 Property, plant and equipment

(a) Measurement

Property, plant and equipment are initially recognised at cost and subsequently carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment initially recognised includes its purchase price and any cost that is directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by the Authority.
2. **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (continued)

2.5 **Property, plant and equipment** (continued)

(b) **Depreciation**

Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method to allocate their depreciable amounts over their estimated useful lives as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Useful lives</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold land</td>
<td>Over the lease period of 99 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings (including covered car parks)</td>
<td>50 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant and machinery installed in buildings</td>
<td>10 to 20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface car parks</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT equipment</td>
<td>3 to 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>3 to 10 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other assets consist of URA Gallery exhibits, motor vehicles, office furniture, fittings and fixtures, office equipment, machinery and other equipment.

No depreciation is provided on projects-in-progress.

The residual values, estimated useful lives and depreciation method of property, plant and equipment are reviewed, and adjusted as appropriate, at each balance sheet date. The effects of any revisions are included in the Income and Expenditure Statement when the changes arise.

(c) **Subsequent expenditure**

Subsequent expenditure relating to property, plant and equipment that has already been recognised is added to the carrying amount of the asset when it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the items, will flow to the Authority and the cost of the item can be measured reliably. All other repair and maintenance expenses are recognised in the Income and Expenditure Statement when incurred.

(d) **Disposal**

On disposal of an item of property, plant and equipment, the difference between the disposal proceeds and its carrying amount is recognised in the Income and Expenditure Statement.
2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

2.6 Impairment of assets

At each balance sheet date, the Authority reviews the carrying amounts of its assets to determine whether there is any indication that those assets have suffered an impairment loss. If any such indication exists, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of the impairment loss (if any). Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the Authority estimates the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs.

If the recoverable amount of an asset (or cash-generating unit) is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset (or cash-generating unit) is reduced to its recoverable amount. An impairment loss is recognised immediately in the Income and Expenditure Statement.

Where an impairment loss is subsequently reversed, the carrying amount of the asset (or cash-generating unit) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, but so that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset (or cash-generating unit) in prior years. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognised immediately in the Income and Expenditure Statement.

2.7 Provisions

Provisions are recognised when the Authority has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event, it is probable that the Authority will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

Provision for pensions and gratuities is made for the payment of pension benefits to pensionable officers under the provisions of the Pensions Act (Cap. 225) and to eligible staff employed under the contract scheme.

The cost of pension benefit due to pensionable officers is determined based on the expected payouts to be made by the Authority in respect of services rendered by these pensionable officers up to the balance sheet date.
2. **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (continued)

2.8 **Income recognition**

Income comprises the fair value of the consideration received or receivable for the sale of goods and rendering of services in the ordinary course of the Authority's activities. Income is presented, net of goods and services tax, rebates and discounts.

(a) **Rendering of services**

Revenue from rendering of services, including income from development control, agency and consultancy fees and recovery of costs is recognised during the financial year in which the services are rendered, by reference to completion of the specific transaction assessed on the basis of the actual service provided as a proportion of the total services to be performed.

(b) **Parking fees and related charges**

Season parking fees are accounted for on a time proportion basis. Other parking fees and related charges are accounted for when transacted.

(c) **Interest income**

Interest income is recognised on a time proportion basis using the effective interest method.

(d) **Dividend income**

Dividend income is recognised when the right to receive payment is established.

(e) **Rental income**

Rental income from operating leases on property, plant and equipment is recognised on a straight line basis over the lease term.
2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

2.9 Employee compensation

(a) Defined contribution plans

Defined contribution plans are post-employment benefit plans under which the Authority pays fixed contributions into separate entities such as the Central Provident Fund on a mandatory, contractual or voluntary basis. The Authority has no further payment obligations once the contributions have been paid. The Authority's contributions are recognised in the Income and Expenditure Statement when they are due.

(b) Employee leave entitlement

Employee entitlements to annual leave are recognised when they accrue to employees. A provision is made for the estimated liability for annual leave as a result of services rendered by employees up to the balance sheet date.

2.10 Foreign currency transactions and translation

Transactions in a currency other than the functional currency (“foreign currency”) are translated into the functional currency using the exchange rates at the dates of the transactions. Currency translation differences from the settlement of such transactions and from the translation of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies at the closing rates at the balance sheet date are recognised in the Income and Expenditure Statement.

2.11 Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and deposits with financial institutions which are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value.
3. CRITICAL ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND KEY SOURCES OF ESTIMATION UNCERTAINTY

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with SB-FRS requires management to exercise judgement in the process of applying the accounting policies. It also requires the use of accounting estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenditure and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities in the financial statements. Although these estimates are based on management’s best knowledge of current events and actions, actual results may ultimately differ from those estimates. Significant areas of estimation and management judgement with regard to the estimation of useful life for the property, plant and equipment are as disclosed in Notes 2.5 (b) and 5.

4. FINANCIAL RISKS AND CAPITAL RISKS MANAGEMENT

The main risks arising from the Authority’s activities are market risk (including currency, interest rate and price risks), credit risk and liquidity risk. The impact of the current global economic crisis and capital market downturn contributed largely to the net deficit for the financial year ended 31 March 2009. There has been no change to the Authority’s exposure to these financial risks or the manner in which it manages and measures the risk.

4.1 Market risk

(a) Foreign currency risk

The Authority has exposure to foreign exchange risk as a result of investments in foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities. Foreign currency contracts are used to hedge foreign exchange exposure as and when required. Disclosure of significant financial assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than Singapore Dollar is disclosed in Notes 9 and 10 of the financial statements.

Sensitivity analysis

A 10% weakening/strengthening in the Singapore dollar against the relevant foreign currencies with all other variables being held constant is expected to increase/decrease the net surplus of the Authority by $7,665,000 (31 March 2008 : $12,263,000).

Management is of the view that the above sensitivity analysis may not be representative of the inherent foreign exchange risk as year end exposure may not reflect the actual exposure and circumstances during the year.
4. **FINANCIAL AND CAPITAL RISKS MANAGEMENT** (continued)

4.1 **Market risk** (continued)

(b) **Interest rate risk**

As the Authority maintains most of its cash and cash equivalents in fixed rate instruments and does not have any interest bearing liabilities, its exposure to interest rate risk is insignificant.

(c) **Price risk**

The Authority is exposed to price risk arising from financial assets at fair value through profit and loss and available-for-sale financial assets.

Further details of these investments can be found in Note 9 to the financial statements.

*Sensitivity analysis*

In respect of financial assets at fair value through profit or loss, except bonds, if prices increased or decreased 5% with all other variables being held constant, the Authority’s net surplus for the year ended 31 March 2009 would increase/decrease by $17,458,000 (31 March 2008: $23,722,000).

In respect of bonds classified as held for trading, if the interest rate decreased/increased by 50 basis point, and all other variables being held constant, the Authority’s net surplus for the year ended 31 March 2009 would increase/decrease by $5,323,000 (31 March 2008: $6,310,000).

In respect of available-for-sale financial assets, no sensitivity has been prepared as management is of the view that money market funds are not subjected to significant price risk.

4.2 **Credit risk**

Credit risk arises from transactions with debtors and financial institutions. The maximum exposure at the end of the financial year, in relation to each class of financial asset is the fair value of those assets in the Balance Sheet.

Cash and cash equivalents, unit trusts, equities and available-for-sale financial investments are placed or transacted with high credit quality financial institutions. Bonds held for investment are of at least a Moody’s grading of Baa3 or its equivalent.

There is no significant concentration of credit risk except as disclosed in Note 8 where the major customers are government bodies. There is also consistent monitoring of the credit quality of the customers.
4. FINANCIAL AND CAPITAL RISKS MANAGEMENT (continued)

4.3 Liquidity risk

In managing the liquidity risk, the Authority ensures that it maintains sufficient cash and flexibility in funding to finance its operations. Investments are mainly held in quoted marketable securities comprising bonds, unit trusts and equity shares.

Liquidity risk analyses

The following table details the remaining contractual maturity of the Authority's financial liabilities (including derivative financial liabilities). The table has been drawn up based on the undiscounted cash flows of financial liabilities based on the earliest date on which the Authority can be required to pay. The table includes both interest and principal cash flows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>On demand or within 1 year</th>
<th>Within 2 to 5 years</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 March 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency and other deposits</td>
<td>19,032</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creditors and accrued operating expenses</td>
<td>78,572</td>
<td>3,002</td>
<td>81,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for pensions and gratuities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,632</td>
<td>3,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98,244</td>
<td>6,634</td>
<td>104,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency and other deposits</td>
<td>15,541</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creditors and accrued operating expenses</td>
<td>175,237</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>175,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>4,097</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for pensions and gratuities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,377</td>
<td>3,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>194,875</td>
<td>3,377</td>
<td>198,252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Capital risk management policies and objectives

The capital structure of the Authority consists of capital account and accumulated surplus. The Authority's overall strategy remains unchanged from last financial year.
## 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Leasehold land</th>
<th>Buildings</th>
<th>Plant and machinery</th>
<th>Surface car parks</th>
<th>IT equipment</th>
<th>Other assets</th>
<th>Projects in progress</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April 2008</td>
<td>153,151</td>
<td>116,839</td>
<td>31,110</td>
<td>35,987</td>
<td>61,851</td>
<td>14,962</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>415,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>4,464</td>
<td>5,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,389</td>
<td>2,410</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(5,799)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (1,338)</td>
<td>(523)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (1,861)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2009</td>
<td>153,151</td>
<td>116,839</td>
<td>31,122</td>
<td>39,429</td>
<td>63,730</td>
<td>14,755</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>419,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated depreciation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April 2008</td>
<td>15,516</td>
<td>29,026</td>
<td>27,553</td>
<td>32,963</td>
<td>54,960</td>
<td>13,528</td>
<td>- 173,546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation charge</td>
<td>1,581</td>
<td>2,634</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>1,381</td>
<td>2,351</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>- 9,779</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (1,338)</td>
<td>(505)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (1,843)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2009</td>
<td>17,097</td>
<td>31,660</td>
<td>28,958</td>
<td>34,344</td>
<td>55,973</td>
<td>13,450</td>
<td>- 181,482</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net book value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2009</td>
<td>136,054</td>
<td>85,179</td>
<td>2,164</td>
<td>5,085</td>
<td>7,757</td>
<td>1,305</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>238,255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Leasehold land</th>
<th>Buildings</th>
<th>Plant and machinery</th>
<th>Surface car parks</th>
<th>IT equipment</th>
<th>Other assets</th>
<th>Projects in progress</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April 2008</td>
<td>153,151</td>
<td>116,839</td>
<td>31,098</td>
<td>34,845</td>
<td>60,699</td>
<td>14,669</td>
<td>2,164</td>
<td>413,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>- 753</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4,110</td>
<td>4,956</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,142</td>
<td>2,868</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>(4,228)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (2,469)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (2,475)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2008</td>
<td>153,151</td>
<td>116,839</td>
<td>31,110</td>
<td>35,987</td>
<td>61,851</td>
<td>14,962</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>415,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated depreciation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April 2008</td>
<td>13,936</td>
<td>26,391</td>
<td>25,158</td>
<td>32,009</td>
<td>54,609</td>
<td>12,756</td>
<td>- 164,859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation charge</td>
<td>1,580</td>
<td>2,635</td>
<td>2,395</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>2,820</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>- 11,162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (2,469)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (2,475)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2008</td>
<td>15,516</td>
<td>29,026</td>
<td>27,553</td>
<td>32,963</td>
<td>54,960</td>
<td>13,528</td>
<td>- 173,546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net book value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2008</td>
<td>137,635</td>
<td>87,813</td>
<td>3,557</td>
<td>3,024</td>
<td>6,891</td>
<td>1,434</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>242,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

For the financial year ended 31 March 2009

6. INFRASTRUCTURAL PROJECTS-IN-PROGRESS

The Authority has taken on a more proactive role to make Singapore more attractive and competitive by facilitating the development of strategic areas when they are reverted back to the Authority in due course. As at 31 March 2009, the Authority had a total of 47 land parcels (31 March 2008: 48 land parcels) of which 43 land parcels (31 March 2008: 44 land parcels) were with nominal value. The Authority has 31 March 2008 land parcels with reversionary interest from past sale of sites were not included in the view that it is difficult to reliably estimate the final future value of these lands through more active value enhancing initiatives. The project costs incurred by the Authority had a total of 47 land parcels (31 March 2008: 48 land parcels) of current accumulated surplus is used to fund these projects. On completion of the projects, the Authority has taken on a more proactive role to make Singapore more attractive and competitive by facilitating the development of strategic areas when they are reverted back to the Authority in due course. As at 31 March 2009, (31 March 2008: 4 land parcels) was estimated at $5,772,000 (31 March 2008: $3,557,000).
5. **PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT** (continued)

Land parcels with reversionary interest from past sale of sites were not included in the above assets schedule as these are carried at zero cost as management is of the view that it is difficult to reliably estimate the final future value of these lands when they are reverted back to the Authority in due course. As at 31 March 2009, the Authority had a total of 47 land parcels (31 March 2008: 48 land parcels) of which 43 land parcels (31 March 2008: 44 land parcels) were with nominal value of $1 each. The value of reversionary interest for the other 4 land parcels (31 March 2008: 4 land parcels) was estimated at $5,772,000 (31 March 2008: $5,583,000). The Authority’s in-house professional valuer has estimated the value, based on current market conditions, by discounting the future value of the 4 land parcels to its present value based on the remaining number of years of the unexpired land sale tenure.

6. **INFRASTRUCTURAL PROJECTS-IN-PROGRESS**

The Authority has taken on a more proactive role to make Singapore more attractive and competitive by facilitating the development of strategic areas through more active value enhancing initiatives. The project costs incurred by the Authority are recognised as an asset on the Authority’s Balance Sheet as its accumulated surplus is used to fund these projects. On completion of the infrastructural projects, the assets will be transferred to the designated government agencies as a contribution to the government, with a corresponding reduction against the Authority’s accumulated surplus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$’000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of financial year</td>
<td>47,582</td>
<td>15,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>43,878</td>
<td>32,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer upon completion</td>
<td>(25,495)</td>
<td>(506)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of financial year</td>
<td>65,965</td>
<td>47,582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Presented as:

- Current - to be transferred within 12 months: 63,281 25,368
- Non-current - to be transferred after 12 months: 2,684 22,214

|                      | 65,965        | 47,582        |
7. STAFF LOANS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Housing loans $'000</th>
<th>Other loans $'000</th>
<th>Total $'000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>31 March 2009</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>31 March 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not later than one year (Note 8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>31 March 2008</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>31 March 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not later than one year (Note 8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current</td>
<td>31 March 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between one and five years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Housing loans and other loans had the following weighted average effective interest rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing loans</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other loans</td>
<td><strong>4.25%</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.25%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DEBTORS, ACCRUED INTEREST, PREPAYMENTS AND OTHER DEBTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating debtors</td>
<td>21,239</td>
<td>30,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoverables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- agency projects</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>17,423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- others</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest and dividend receivables</td>
<td>3,402</td>
<td>3,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepayments</td>
<td>2,999</td>
<td>2,423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables for sale of investments</td>
<td>3,905</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other debtors</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff loans (Note 7)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>48,323</strong></td>
<td><strong>54,791</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. **DEBTORS, ACCRUED INTEREST, PREPAYMENTS AND OTHER DEBTORS**  
(continued)

Included in the above are operating debtors and recoverables relating to agency works undertaken on behalf of Ministry of National Development amounting to $33,332,000 (31 March 2008: Ministry of National Development and a Statutory Board of $37,199,000 and $8,327,000 respectively).

The Authority’s debtors are mostly government agencies, other statutory boards and its parent ministry, Ministry of National Development. A majority of the Authority’s operating debtors and recoverables that are neither past due nor impaired is due from the Ministry of National Development.

The ageing analysis of operating debtors past due is as shown below. No allowance has been made on these debtors as management believes that there has not been significant change in credit quality and the amounts are still considered recoverable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Past due</th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 30 days</td>
<td>2,385</td>
<td>6,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 – 60 days</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 – 90 days</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,582</td>
<td>10,192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **FINANCIAL ASSETS/(LIABILITIES)**

Financial assets/(liabilities) are measured in accordance with the accounting policies as set out in Note 2.2.

Financial assets/(liabilities) include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$'000</td>
<td>$'000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quoted marketable securities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- bonds</td>
<td>222,354</td>
<td>506,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- unit trusts</td>
<td>269,576</td>
<td>322,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- equity shares</td>
<td>79,585</td>
<td>151,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>25,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>571,838</td>
<td>1,006,982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Available-for-sale financial assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Money market fund</td>
<td>19,970</td>
<td>58,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>591,808</td>
<td>1,065,034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>(640)</td>
<td>(4,097)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>591,168</td>
<td>1,060,937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. FINANCIAL ASSETS/(LIABILITIES) (continued)

(a) At the balance sheet date, financial assets/(liabilities) at fair value through profit or loss comprised of financial assets/(liabilities) classified as held for trading and designated as at inception of $558,689,000 and $12,509,000 respectively (31 March 2008: $696,213,000 and $306,672,000 respectively).

(b) Included in quoted marketable securities were bonds, which had a weighted average effective interest rate of 1.63% per annum (31 March 2008: 2.23% per annum).

(c) Foreign exchange contracts are used to hedge foreign exchange risks arising from investments in quoted bonds. The notional principal amounts of outstanding forward foreign exchange contracts of the Authority as at 31 March 2009 is $74,218,000 (31 March 2008: $881,725,000).

Total outstanding foreign exchange contracts comprise mainly contracts involving US Dollar and Japanese Yen, with notional principals which amounted to $56,391,000 and $5,496,000 respectively as at 31 March 2009 (31 March 2008: US Dollar and Euro of $403,155,000 and $156,665,000 respectively).

At 31 March 2009, the settlement dates on forward foreign exchange contracts ranged between 0.03 and 1.61 months (31 March 2008: between 0.3 and 13 months).

(d) Investments denominated in foreign currencies amounted to $142,107,000 as at 31 March 2009 (31 March 2008: $651,521,000). The foreign currency exposure arises primarily from the Authority's investment in unit trusts, global bonds and equity shares of which approximately 70% (31 March 2008: approximately 88%) is denominated in US Dollar. The remaining investments are held in various currencies which are individually not significant.

(e) The financial assets at fair value through profit or loss included an investment portfolio managed internally amounting to $12,509,000 (31 March 2008: $330,561,000). The portfolio comprised mainly of corporate and agency bonds, Singapore government securities and other statutory boards' bonds.
9. **FINANCIAL ASSETS/(LIABILITIES) (continued)**

(f) Financial assets/(liabilities) managed by external fund managers comprised the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial assets/(liabilities) at fair value through profit or loss</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quoted marketable securities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- bonds</td>
<td>209,398</td>
<td>200,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- unit trusts</td>
<td>269,576</td>
<td>322,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- equity shares</td>
<td>79,585</td>
<td>151,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>(2,442)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>558,689</td>
<td>672,324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Available-for-sale financial assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Money market fund</td>
<td>19,970</td>
<td>58,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>578,659</td>
<td>730,376</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Other assets/(liabilities)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed deposits</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>2,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank balances</td>
<td>2,453</td>
<td>2,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest, dividend receivables and recoverables</td>
<td>1,895</td>
<td>2,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables for sale of investments</td>
<td>3,905</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables for purchase of investments</td>
<td>(3,695)</td>
<td>(2,920)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses and other payables</td>
<td>(169)</td>
<td>(267)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,589</td>
<td>4,564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**584,248** \[734,940\]

* These items have been included in the respective current assets and liabilities categories in the Balance Sheet.

10. **CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS**

For the purpose of the Cash Flow Statement, cash and cash equivalents comprised the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>584,248</td>
<td>734,940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cash and bank balances**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,307</td>
<td>102,723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed deposits with banks</td>
<td>447,494</td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash balances and fixed deposits held by fund managers</td>
<td>3,653</td>
<td>5,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>452,454</td>
<td>185,085</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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10. **CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS** (continued)

(a) Included in cash and bank balances was an amount of $135,000 (31 March 2008 : $100,987,000) relating to collections on behalf of Ministry of National Development and other government agencies, and classified as part of “Creditors and accrued operating expenses” (Note 12) and “Agency and other deposits”.

(b) The weighted average effective interest rate of the fixed deposits as at 31 March 2009 was 0.85% per annum (31 March 2008 : 1.15% per annum) and for a remaining tenure period ranging from 1 day to 234 days (31 March 2008 : 1 day to 88 days).

(c) Cash and cash equivalents denominated in foreign currencies amounted to $505,000 (31 March 2008 : $417,000) and were denominated mainly in US Dollar and Indian Rupee (31 March 2008 : New Taiwan Dollar and US Dollar). The cash in foreign currencies were held in relation to the Authority’s investment in quoted equity shares and global bonds.

11. **DEFERRED INCOME**

This represents the portion of agency fees received on sale of sites which are deferred for services to be performed in future financial years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presented as:</strong></td>
<td>$'000</td>
<td>$'000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current - to be recognised within 12 months</td>
<td>3,252</td>
<td>2,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current - to be recognised after 12 months</td>
<td>3,525</td>
<td>4,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>6,777</td>
<td>6,821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Movements in deferred income account were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beginning of financial year</strong></td>
<td>6,821</td>
<td>3,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income deferred for financial year</strong></td>
<td>2,608</td>
<td>4,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transfer to Income and Expenditure Statement</strong></td>
<td>(2,652)</td>
<td>(1,191)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of financial year</strong></td>
<td>6,777</td>
<td>6,821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2009

12. CREDITORS AND ACCRUED OPERATING EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$'000</td>
<td>$'000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collections on behalf of Ministry of National Development and government agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- development charges and parking fees</td>
<td>6,309</td>
<td>106,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- others</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating creditors and advances</td>
<td>32,157</td>
<td>35,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued operating expenses and other creditors</td>
<td>22,928</td>
<td>24,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables for infrastructural projects-in-progress</td>
<td>12,163</td>
<td>4,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables for purchase of investments</td>
<td>3,867</td>
<td>2,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables for property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>1,126</td>
<td>1,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78,572</td>
<td>175,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating creditors and advances</td>
<td>3,002</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81,574</td>
<td>175,237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Included in “Operating creditors and advances” and “Accrued operating expenses and other payables” was an amount of $42,952,000 (31 March 2008: $46,058,000) relating to agency projects.

13. PROVISION FOR PENSIONS AND GRATUITIES

Movements in provision for pensions and gratuities were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$'000</td>
<td>$'000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of financial year</td>
<td>3,377</td>
<td>3,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision made during financial year</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision utilised during financial year</td>
<td>(186)</td>
<td>(156)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of financial year</td>
<td>3,632</td>
<td>3,377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14. CAPITAL ACCOUNT

The balance in this account represents:

(a) the value of certain leasehold land of the former Urban Renewal Department under the Ministry of National Development and some adjacent state land vested in the Authority when it was established;

(b) the net book value of movable assets transferred from the former Planning Department and the Research and Statistics Unit under the Ministry of National Development upon their amalgamation with the Authority on 1 September 1989; and

(c) 1,000 shares of one dollar each issued to the Minister of Finance, a body corporate incorporated by the Minister for Finance (Incorporation) Act (Cap. 183) for equity injection of $1,000 on 23 February 2009.

15. PARKING FEES AND RELATED CHARGES

Included in parking fees and related charges is income from the sale of car park coupons. The Authority operates the common car park coupon system jointly with Housing and Development Board (“HDB”) from 1 September 1981. The total annual coupon sales proceeds is shared between the Authority and HDB based on management’s best estimate of the usage of coupons in the car parks managed by each party using an agreed sharing formula. Each party’s share of the coupon sales proceeds is subject to adjustment that may arise from joint coupon income surveys carried out at periodic intervals that are mutually agreed by both parties.

16. RECOVERY OF COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$'000</td>
<td>$'000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery of costs from the government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- planning services</td>
<td>29,923</td>
<td>29,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- stores and services</td>
<td>13,577</td>
<td>7,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- agency car parks</td>
<td>1,178</td>
<td>1,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Preservation of Monuments Board</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- land management</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44,839</td>
<td>38,058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. AGENCY AND CONSULTANCY FEES

The Authority sells and manages land for the government, manages car parks and undertakes development projects on behalf of the government and earns agency and consultancy fees from these services provided.

18. INCOME FROM DEVELOPMENT CONTROL

The income from development control includes sale of approved plans, search fees, lodgment fees and development application processing fees collected under subsidiary legislation made under the Planning Act (Cap. 232) and administrative charges for planning clearance for projects submitted by government departments and ministries.

19. EXPENDITURE ON MANPOWER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$’000</td>
<td>$’000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries, allowances and bonus</td>
<td>66,719</td>
<td>69,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer's contribution to Central Provident Fund</td>
<td>6,539</td>
<td>6,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other staff costs</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>1,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74,163</td>
<td>77,614</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key management personnel compensation is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$’000</td>
<td>$’000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and other short-term employee benefits</td>
<td>4,146</td>
<td>4,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer's contribution to Central Provident Fund</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,231</td>
<td>4,405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key management refers to employees designated as Group Directors and above who have the authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Authority.

20. TEMPORARY OCCUPATION LICENCE FEES

The Authority pays temporary occupation licence fees to other state-controlled entities for the use of land belonging to the State and other statutory boards for kerbside and off-street parking.
21. OPERATING SURPLUS

The following items had been included in arriving at operating surplus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease expenses</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board members’ allowances</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. (LOSS)/INCOME FROM BANK DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest income from bank deposits</td>
<td>5,967</td>
<td>1,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gains from sale of investments</td>
<td>5,520</td>
<td>41,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend income</td>
<td>9,382</td>
<td>9,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>10,717</td>
<td>18,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value loss arising from financial assets</td>
<td>(125,231)</td>
<td>(29,002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- designated as at fair value through profit or loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- held for trading</td>
<td>(11,460)</td>
<td>7,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange gain/(loss) - net</td>
<td>11,317</td>
<td>(44,095)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss)/Income from investments</td>
<td>(99,755)</td>
<td>4,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund management expenses</td>
<td>(1,638)</td>
<td>(3,882)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(101,393)</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net (loss)/income from bank deposits and investments | (95,426) | 2,305 |

* Investments comprise financial assets at fair value through profit or loss and available-for-sale financial assets (Note 9).

Included in net (loss) / income from bank deposits and investments is a net gain of $2,330,000 (2007/2008 : $14,242,000) arising from investments classified as financial assets designated as at fair value through profit or loss at inception.
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23. CONTRIBUTION TO CONSOLIDATED FUND

The contribution to the Consolidated Fund is made in accordance with Section (3)(a) of the Statutory Corporations (Contributions to Consolidated Fund) Act (Cap. 319A). Contribution for the financial year is determined based on 18% (2007/2008 : 18%) of the net surplus for the financial year.

There is no contribution for the financial year ended 31 March 2009 and the deficit amount would be carried forward for set-off against future financial years’ surpluses. No deferred tax asset has been recognised due to the unpredictability of future net surplus.

24. FUNDING FOR GOVERNMENT PROJECTS

The Authority incurred an amount of $5,331,000 (2007/2008 : $64,943,000) to fund government projects which are implemented by government agencies under the programme to develop Singapore into a vibrant and distinctive global city. This amount was recorded as a reduction in the Authority’s accumulated surplus during the financial year as this is a contribution to the government.

25. DIVIDEND PAID

A dividend of $1,061,000 was paid to the Minister of Finance, a body corporate incorporated by the Minister for Finance (Incorporation) Act (Cap. 183), in respect of the results for the financial year ended 31 March 2008.

26. COMMITMENTS

(a) Capital commitments

Capital expenditures contracted for at the balance sheet date but not recognised in the financial statements are analysed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$'000</td>
<td>$'000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructural projects</td>
<td>104,064</td>
<td>73,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts approved and contracted for</td>
<td><strong>104,064</strong></td>
<td><strong>79,016</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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26. COMMITMENTS (continued)

(b) Operating lease commitments - where the Authority is a lessor

The future minimum lease receivables under non-cancellable operating leases contracted for at the balance sheet date but not recognised as receivables, are analysed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 March 2009</th>
<th>31 March 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not later than one year</td>
<td>5,588</td>
<td>5,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between one and five years</td>
<td>5,383</td>
<td>9,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,971</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,782</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. NEW OR REVISED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

At the date of authorisation of these financial statements, the following SB-FRS that is relevant to the Authority was issued but not effective:

**SB-FRS 1 – Presentation of Financial Statements (Revised)**

SB-FRS 1 (Revised) will be effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 April 2009, and will change the basis for presentation and structure of the financial statements. It does not change the recognition, measurement or disclosure of specific transactions and other events required by other SB-FRSs.

Consequential amendments were also made to various standards as a result of these new or revised standards.

Management anticipates that the adoption of the other SB-FRSs, INT SB-FRSs and amendments to SB-FRS that were issued but effective only in future periods will not have a material impact on the financial statements of the Authority in the period of their initial adoption.

28. AUTHORISATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

These financial statements were authorised for issue by the Board on 9 June 2009.
To make Singapore a great city to live, work and play in